

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	States Patent and Trademark Utilice
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	MAINY HENTO GOV

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/715,797	11/18/2003	Steve Golden	CSI-2009C1	5178	
33931 7	7590 12/11/2006	•	EXAMINER		
	E OF HARRY J. MACE	WOO, JULIAN W .			
	LAND ROAD, SUITE 100 CITY, CA 94063		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3731		
			DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	10/715,797	GOLDEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Julian Woo	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	September 2004.					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-122 is/are pending in the applicati	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-122</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	•				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to th						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li> </ul>	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		d.				
		• 10				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				
C Delegated Testand Office						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-54, drawn to an anastomosis apparatus or system, classified in class 606, subclass 153.
  - II. Claims 55-58, drawn to a cannula, classified in class 600, subclass 566.
  - III. Claims 59 and 60, drawn to a trocar, classified in class 606, subclass 185.
  - IV. Claims 61-63, drawn to a surgical fastener cartridge, classified in class606, subclass 219.
  - V. Claims 64-81, drawn to a method for performing an anastomosis, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
  - VI. Claims 82-111, drawn to an occlusion device, classified in class 606, subclass 213.
  - VII. Claims 112-122, drawn to dual-stage release fastener, classified in class 606, subclass 151.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and each of inventions II-IV, VI, and VII are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as

claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the

Art Unit: 3731

combination does not require a cannula with a piercing member, a trocar with a passageway changing in dimension along a longitudinal portion thereof, a surgical fastener cartridge having tubular members; an occlusion device with coaxial cylinders, a plurality of fasteners, a cylindrical sheath, or a cylindrical restrictor; or a dual-stage release fastener with mechanical restraints. The cannula has separate utility such as a biopsy device. The trocar has separate utility such as a hole punch for organs. The surgical fastener cartridge has separate utility such as a package for clips. The occlusion device has separate utility such as a plug for openings in heart tissue. The dual-stage release fastener has separate utility such as a clip for joining other materials.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Inventions II-IV and VI and VII are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one

Art Unit: 3731

subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, each subcombination has separate, abovementioned utility. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Inventions V and each of inventions I, II, and VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, invention I can be used with gastrointestinal organs. Invention II can be used in sampling of other tissues. Invention VII can be used to join other organ portions or tissues.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Application/Control Number: 10/715,797 Page 5

Art Unit: 3731

because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of invention I and exemplary figures:

Species 1: Fig. 15C, Species 2: Fig. 16A, Species 3: Fig. 17A, Species 4: Fig. 18A, Species 5: Fig. 19A, and Species 6: Fig. 20A.

The species are independent or distinct because they have materially different designs, modes of operation, and effects.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 42 are generic.

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of invention V and exemplary figures:

Species 1: Figs. 11-14 and Species 2: Figs. 15A-15D.

The species are independent or distinct because they have materially different designs, modes of operation, and effects.

Application/Control Number: 10/715,797 Page 6

Art Unit: 3731

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of invention VI and exemplary figures:

Species 1: Fig. 16A, Species 2: Fig. 17A,

Species 3: Fig. 18A, and

Species 4: Fig. 19A,

The species are independent or distinct because they have materially different designs and modes of operation.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

6. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 3731

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian W. Woo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4707. The examiner can normally be reached Mon.-Fri., 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM Eastern Time, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anh Tuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/715,797

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3731

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)

Page 8

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Julian W. Woo

**Primary Examiner** 

Julian W. Woo

December 8, 2006